

Message

---

**From:** Froede, Carl [Froede.Carl@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 6/24/2021 12:28:31 PM  
**To:** Richards, Jon M. [Richards.Jon@epa.gov]; Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** EMWMF-EMDF RAD Wastewater Mgmt FFS -- Need Richards quick review and summary, please  
**Attachments:** ID 9100 Enclosure.pdf

**Importance:** High

Good morning Jon and Cathy,

Attached is the "revised" FFS. It is only revised as it relates to RAD WW discharge. Everything else is unchanged – it still shows Site 5 adjacent to the EMWMF as the preferred EMDF location and does not mention Site 7c.

Jon – I need your quick help please on the following:

1. DOE has changed RAD values in Table 6 (page 6) and I don't know if I should be concerned? Please tell me what these concentrations represent and why I should or should not be concerned.
2. DOE has change **ALL** of the RAD related section in Appendix K (and removed our Table 12!). They have changed the RAD concentrations in those tables. Same as above – tell me if I should be concerned and why – thanks.

Cathy – This document does NOT reflect agreements made between the FFA parties to relocate the EMDF to Site 7c and there is no mention of it in this document. While information related to RAD releases from the EMWMF remain accurate – those from Site 7c are not show and there is no information. This document must undergo a considerable rewrite/update if we wish to have DOE-OR revise it for the purposes of supporting the EMDF ROD.

How do you wish me to proceed? I have other DOE documents to review and don't want to waste my time on this if you and Glenn think it is a waste of my time.

Thanks for your help and direction,

Carl